

The gauge structure of Liouville theory

Andrés Anabalón^{1,2*}

¹Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik, Albert-Einstein-Institut,
Am Mühlenberg 1, D-14476 Golm, Germany.

²Centro de Estudios Científicos (CECS) Casilla 1469 Valdivia, Chile.

April 14, 2009

Abstract

It is proved that Liouville theory and the two dimensional $SO(2,1)$ gauged Wess-Zumino-Witten term are on-shell equivalent. This shed light on a possible higher dimensional generalization of the former theory.

The study of three dimensional gravity has shed light on the existence of a fiber bundle structure in most of the known gravitational theories. In particular, three dimensional Einstein gravity turns out to be defined as a theory of flat connections [1], and thus derivable from a three dimensional Chern-Simons (**CS**) action. Indeed, defining the connection as being valued in, for instance, the three dimensional AdS group $SO(2,2)$:

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2}\omega^{AB}J_{AB} + \frac{e^A}{l}P_A, \quad \mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{2}\left[R^{AB} + \frac{1}{l^2}e^Ae^B\right]J_{AB} + \frac{1}{l}T^AP_A, \quad (1)$$

where $R^{AB} = d\omega^{AB} + \omega_C^A\omega^{CB}$, $T^A = de^A + \omega_B^Ae^B \equiv De^A$, and $A = 0, 1, 2$. Three dimensional Einstein AdS gravity is nothing but the statement that

$$\mathcal{F} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Although this was discovered during the $OSp(p|2;\mathbb{R}) \otimes OSp(q|2;\mathbb{R})$ extension of $SO(2,2)$ three dimensional gravity [1], it has become very useful not only at the time to construct extended supergravities (for an extensive catalog of the maximally supersymmetric gauged supergravities in three dimensions see [2]) but also turns out to be relevant in the holographic context. When a CS theory is defined in a manifold with a boundary, in general, it defines a chiral WZW theory [3, 4] on its boundary. However in the case of $SO(2,2)$, gravity it is necessary to properly define the meaning of asymptotically AdS. This amount to impose Brown-Henneaux asymptotic conditions [5], or even weaker ones [6], reducing the WZW theory to the Liouville theory¹.

*anabalon-at-aei-dot-mpg-dot-de

¹It has also been proved that is not necessary to resort the CS formulation to induce Liouville theory as the asymptotic dynamics of three dimensional AdS gravity [10].

Its connection with CS gravity suggest that Liouville theory itself would admit a description in terms of a purely topological object which should inherit the structure from the CS form. This is actually the case, classically (and no quantum consideration will occupy us in what follows), as will be subsequently proved in this paper.

The even dimensional sibling of CS forms, is the gauged WZW form [7, 8], which in two dimensions defines the following action principle:

$$S(h, \mathcal{A}) = \kappa \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{3} \langle (h^{-1}dh)^3 \rangle - \kappa \int_{\partial\Sigma} \langle (\mathcal{A} - h^{-1}dh) h^{-1} \mathcal{A} h \rangle - \kappa \int_{\partial\Sigma} \langle \mathcal{A} h^{-1} dh \rangle, \quad (3)$$

where the brackets stand for an invariant tensor, h for a group element, $\mathcal{A}$ for a connection and the wedge products are omitted to simplify the notation. It is invariant under the adjoint action of the group:

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^g = g^{-1} \mathcal{A} g + g^{-1} dg, \quad h \rightarrow g^{-1} h g. \quad (4)$$

The action (3) is usually accompanied with a kinetic term for h . However, in this case the kinetic term is omitted since it would not allow to extrapolate these results to higher dimensions, where the non-linear sigma model kinetic term is not conformal invariant. The previously quoted facts mark an important difference with the interesting and useful Liouville embedding in WZW theories previously known [9].

In this case $SO(2, 1)$ is the relevant gauge group and the generators satisfy

$$[P_a, P_b] = \mp m^2 J_{ab}, \quad [J_{ab}, P_c] = -P_b \eta_{ac} + P_a \eta_{bc}, \quad (5)$$

where $a = 0, 1, \eta_{ab}(-, +)$. Here it is interesting to note that a redefinition of the generators allows to consider negative or positive m^2 terms.

The connection and the curvature are

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2} \omega^{ab} J_{ab} + m e^a P_a, \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{2} (R^{ab} \mp m^2 e^a e^b) J_{ab} + (de^a + \omega_c^a e^c) m P_a. \quad (7)$$

The fact that ω^{ab} is a Lorentz connection implies that the action of the covariant derivative, $D = d + \omega$, on the invariant tensor η_{ab} identically vanishes², $D\eta \equiv 0$. This, in turn, implies that the metric defined as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^a e_\nu^b \eta_{ab}, \quad (8)$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} &= D_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = (D_\lambda e_\mu^a) e_\nu^b \eta_{ab} + e_\mu^a (D_\lambda e_\nu^b) \eta_{ab} \equiv e_\alpha^a \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^\alpha e_\nu^b \eta_{ab} + e_\mu^a \Gamma_{\lambda\nu}^\beta e_\beta^b \eta_{ab} \\ &= \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^\alpha g_{\nu\alpha} + \Gamma_{\lambda\nu}^\beta g_{\mu\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Where the use of the relation

$$D_\lambda e_\mu^a \equiv e_\alpha^a \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^\alpha,$$

²This can be relaxed considering ω^{ab} not valued in the Lorentz algebra [11].

can be simply regarded as a redefinition of the LHS object. Eq. (9) is nothing but the well known definition of metric compatibility. Thus, when the metric (or equivalently the vielbein) is invertible, the condition $de^a + \omega_c^a e^c = 0$ identifies Γ as the Levi-Civita connection. Here it should be remarked that once the vielbein is taken to be part of a gauge connection one is confronted to the fact that gauge transformations can map configurations where the vielbein is invertible to a non-invertible one, something that has been matter of controversy until these days [12, 13]. However, once an invertible vielbein has been chosen, small gauge transformations does not affect its invertibility. Indeed, small AdS translations, $\delta e^a = D\lambda^a$, can be identified as diffeomorphisms

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} = \delta (e_\mu^a e_\nu^b \eta_{ab}) = \nabla_\mu \lambda_\nu + \nabla_\nu \lambda_\mu,$$

where $\nabla_\mu \lambda_\nu = \partial_\mu \lambda_\nu + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \lambda_\alpha$; the equivalence between gauge and metric formulations becomes unclear beyond this level. In what follows the invertibility of the vielbein will be assumed and the result are thus stable only under small gauge deformations.

Now, let us show that the field equations that follow from (3) implies that the conformal factor of the spacetime metric (8) satisfies the Liouville equation. To this end we set the invariant tensor as the Killing metric: $\langle J_{01} J_{01} \rangle = 1$, $\langle J_{01} P_a \rangle = 0$, $\langle P_a P_b \rangle = \eta_{ab}$.

The field equations for $\mathcal{A}$ reads

$$\langle G_A (h^{-1} \mathcal{A} h + h^{-1} dh - h \mathcal{A} h^{-1} - h dh^{-1}) \rangle = 0, \quad (10)$$

and the field equations for h are

$$\left\langle G_A \left(h^{-1} \mathcal{F} h + \mathcal{F} - \frac{1}{2} [(\mathcal{A} - h^{-1} \mathcal{A} h - h^{-1} dh), (\mathcal{A} - h^{-1} \mathcal{A} h - h^{-1} dh)] \right) \right\rangle = 0, \quad (11)$$

where G_A stands for all the generators.

Let us consider first (10): to solve them, it is necessary to select a coordinate chart in the group manifold. Since any group element of $SO(1, 2)$ can be locally written as the adjoint action of the group on the exponential of a Cartan subalgebra, there is the freedom to select h as

$$h = p \exp(\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{ab} J_{ab}) p^{-1}, \quad (12)$$

where p is some group element that makes (12) a good coordinate chart. In this way, it is possible to recognize that most of the field content of h can be eliminated by selecting the gauge transformation in (4) as $g = p$.

Thus, we pick

$$h = \exp(\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{ab} J_{ab}). \quad (13)$$

In this gauge the field equations (10) are:

$$\delta e^1 : e^0 \sinh(\lambda^{01}) = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$\delta e^0 : e^1 \sinh(\lambda^{01}) = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$\delta \omega^{ab} : d\lambda^{ab} = 0, \quad (16)$$

We see that, (16) implies that λ^{ab} is constant. Moreover, equation (14) and the invertibility of the vielbein implies

$$\sinh(\lambda^{01}) = 0, \quad (17)$$

When the vielbein is invertible, the most general solution to the field equations is

$$\lambda^{01} = 0 \implies h = 1. \quad (18)$$

This, in turn, solve all the field equations (10) and simplifies the remaining ones (11) to the form:

$$\mathcal{F} = 0, \quad (19)$$

As discussed before the equation of motion $de^a + \omega_c^a e^c = 0$ set the connection to be the Levi-Civita connection and $(R^{ab} \mp m^2 e^a e^b) = 0$ is just

$$R = \pm 2m^2. \quad (20)$$

This complete the demonstration of the classical equivalence of (3) and Liouville theory.

In passing we note that the formulation of Liouville theory in terms of (3), solves a problem reviewed by Jackiw [14]. The Liouville field equation (20) in the conformal gauge, $g_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\phi} \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\square\phi \pm 2m^2 e^{2\phi} - \frac{1}{2}R(\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}) = 0, \quad (21)$$

enjoys Weyl symmetry:

$$\phi \rightarrow \phi - \sigma \quad \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \exp(2\sigma). \quad (22)$$

However, as discussed *in extenso* in [14], until now, a local, Weyl invariant action principle, from where (21) can be obtained was not known. The action principle (3) solves this problem introducing some non propagating fields in the group element h , in such a way that it becomes on-shell trivial ($h = 1$) and (21) is recovered. This implies that the equivalence between (21) and the field equations that follow from (3) is exact.

Finally, it is worth to remark that the rather peculiar form (3) of writing this conformal field theory allows a higher dimensional generalization. Indeed, in every even dimensional spacetime it is possible to write down a gauged WZW form. This has been investigated in four dimensions [15], however we have imposed different constraints in the field content of these theories in order to reproduce General Relativity. At the light of the results presented here, one should consider the four dimensional generalization with no restriction, with the hope of find a four dimensional analog of the Liouville theory.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Joaquim Gomis, Mokhtar Hassaine, Marc Magro, Julio Oliva, Ricardo Troncoso, Steve Willison and Jorge Zanelli many discussions and guide in the literature that was essential to understand this problem. This work is supported by the grant No. 3080024 from FONDECYT (Chile) and for the Alexander

von Humboldt foundation (Germany). The Centro de Estudios Científicos (CECS) is funded by the Chilean Government through the Millennium Science Initiative and the Centers of Excellence Base Financing Program of Conicyt. CECS is also supported by a group of private companies which at present includes Antofagasta Minerals, Arauco, Empresas CMPC, Indura, Naviera Ultragas and Telefónica del Sur.

References

- [1] A. Achúcarro and P. K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. B **180** (1986) 89.
- [2] H. Nicolai and H. Samtleben, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86** (2001) 1686 [arXiv:hep-th/0010076].
- [3] G. W. Moore and N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. B **220** (1989) 422.
- [4] S. Elitzur, G. W. Moore, A. Schwimmer and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B **326** (1989) 108.
- [5] O. Coussaert, M. Henneaux and P. van Driel, Class. Quant. Grav. **12** (1995) 2961 [arXiv:gr-qc/9506019].
- [6] M. Rومان and P. Spindel, Nucl. Phys. B **594** (2001) 329 [arXiv:hep-th/0008147].
- [7] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **223** (1983) 422.
- [8] L. Alvarez-Gaume and P. H. Ginsparg, Nucl. Phys. B **243** (1984) 449.
- [9] P. Forgacs, A. Wipf, J. Balog, L. Feher and L. O’Raifeartaigh, Phys. Lett. B **227** (1989) 214.
- [10] S. Carlip, Class. Quant. Grav. **22**, 3055 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0501033].
- [11] S. L. Cacciatori, M. M. Caldarelli, A. Giacomini, D. Klemm and D. S. Mansi, J. Geom. Phys. **56**, 2523 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0507200].
- [12] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **311** (1988) 46.
- [13] E. Witten, arXiv:0706.3359 [hep-th].
- [14] R. Jackiw, Theor. Math. Phys. **148** (2006) 941 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **148** (2006) 80] [arXiv:hep-th/0511065].
- [15] A. Anabalón, S. Willison and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 044019 [arXiv:hep-th/0702192]. A. Anabalón, S. Willison and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 024009 [arXiv:hep-th/0610136]. A. Anabalón, JHEP **0806** (2008) 069 [arXiv:0805.3558 [hep-th]].